Occupational Audiology (CSD 833) Syllabus

University of Wisconsin – Stevens Point/Madison AuD Consortium - Summer 2017

Instructor	Course Overview							
Tonya Veith, AuD, CCC-A Phone	The consideration of principles and issues regarding the effects of noise on people, of federal and state regulation of workplace noise, and of the practical aspects of hearing conservation for those exposed to occupational noise, non-occupational noise, or both.							
715-346-2851	Instructional Format							
Email tveith@uwsp.edu	This class will consist of online discussions, lab, and group activities. There may be brief recorded lectures posted as needed. The text will be supplemented with handouts, reference articles and other resources posted in D2L.							
Office Location CPS 048 Office Hours By appointment	Recommended Text Hutchinson, T. L., & Schultz, T. Y. (Eds.). (2014). Hearing Conservation Manual, Fifth Edition. Council for Accreditation in Occupational Hearing Conservation (CAOHC). Additional readings and resources will be provided by the instructor.							
Class Time Online course	Online course management: Desire2Learn (D2L) http://www.uwsp.edu/d2l/Pages/default.aspx							

Time Commitment

An "in-person" 2-credit summer course would meet in the classroom for 3 hours and 20 minutes per week, and significant additional time would be required outside of class for reading, studying, and completing assignments. Keeping that in mind, if you find that the workload of this course is too high, please let me know. If you contact me about this, please give me an approximate idea of how many hours per week you have been spending on each requirement (for example, 2 hours reading articles, 3 hours posting to the discussion, 3 hours reading the discussion, etc.), and this will help me consider how I might modify the requirements.

ASHA Standards

Audiology Standards: A7, A10, A19, A21, A23 (a, b, d), A24, B2 (specifically related to designing an occupational conservation and identification program)

Learning Outcomes

• The student will understand how the auditory system is affected by noise and by the interaction of noise and other factors.

• The student will demonstrate knowledge of audiometric test procedures and processing of audiometric data in a hearing conservation program, including the ability to select, fit, and evaluate the protection provided by personal hearing protection devices

Assignments

Threaded discussion– 80 points total. In order for this course to be successful, you will need to participate in the discussion at least several times per week, every week (specific requirements described below). If you would like to request an excused absence due to illness or emergency, please contact me and we will figure out appropriate arrangements. You do not need to contact me if you will be "absent" from the course for 2-3 days, as long as you are still meeting the deadlines and participating in the course at least several times per week. Unsatisfactory course attendance will hurt your grade.

You will receive points each week based on your contribution to the discussions. If you follow the requirements and your discussion posts are thought provoking, original contributions that are accurate, complete and clearly written (minimal spelling or grammatical errors), you will earn full points (equivalent to an A) for the week. If you meet the requirements with lower quality work (brief, not clearly written, spelling and grammatical errors), your points will fall in the B range. Grades of A-/B+/AB are also possible for work that falls in between the A and B range. If you do not meet the requirements for the discussion reading and posting, or if many of your posts contain significant errors, your grade will be a B-/BC or lower. Please remember that you are required to read the entire discussion each week. It is your choice as to how in-depth your reading will be.

HP evaluation lab – 20 points total. You will evaluate the effectiveness of a set of hearing protectors and compare that effectiveness to the manufacturer's reports of NRR. You may work in pairs for this lab or work independently using an outside volunteer. Each student will need to write up their own lab report and submit it through D2L.

Practice problems – 20 points total. You will be given a set of audiogram problems to complete. They will help you better understand how to determine threshold shifts and baseline revisions. These will be completed and uploaded to D2L.

Quiz – **40 points**. The quiz will be activated on Thursday by 5:00 pm and you will have until Monday at 9:00 am to take the quiz. You will only be allowed to log into the quiz one time and you will have only 1 hour to complete the quiz. Let me know right away if you have technical difficulties.

Hearing conservation protocol/resource manual – 50 points total. The class will be divided into 3 groups to develop a comprehensive resource/protocol manual that can be used to implement a hearing conservation program. The manual will be divided into 3 sections that make up a hearing conservation program; noise surveys, audiometric phase, and HPD/training.

Refer to the course schedule. This schedule is tentative and subject to change. Any changes will be announced in class, by email, or on D2L.

Deadlines and Time Zones

All deadlines are according to Central Daylight Time. If you are in a different time zone and find it difficult to adhere to the CDT deadlines, please let me know and we can consider alternate arrangements.

Grading

Total points = 210

Late assignments will be reduced by 2 points per day, unless prior notification of late submission has been approved. An incomplete will be given if any assignment is not completed by the final date for reporting grades to the register.

Any missed assessments (e.g., due to illness, family emergency on day of exam), may or may not be made up, at the discretion of the instructor. Advance notification, if possible, should be given. While it is understood that "life happens," due date policies are instituted to foster equal opportunity for each student in the class. Out of respect to your fellow classmates, please act and plan responsibly to meet the same time requirements as the rest of the class. Discuss any concerns with the instructor as soon as possible.

You are responsible for keeping track of points earned on assessments in case there is a discrepancy between your records and the instructor's records. All discrepancies must be brought to the attention of the instructor by the time of the final examination.

Grading Scale: Your final grade will be determined by the percent of points you earn out of a possible 210: Because of the different grading scales used on each campus, the grades will be awarded from the following table based on the student's home campus scale.

UW – SP	А	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-	D+	D	F
Letter Grade											
Percentage	100.00-	91.99-	89.99-	87.99-	81.99-	79.99-	77.99-	71.99-	69.99-	67.99-	<60.00
_	92.00	90.00	88.00	82.00	80.00	78.00	72.00	70.00	68.00	60.00	
UW-Madison	А	A-B		В	B-C		С	C-D		D	F
Letter Grade											

Requirements for Threaded Discussions

- All students are responsible for <u>reading the entire</u> discussion every week. Your responsibilities for *posting* to the discussion are detailed below.
- All students must read the required readings. Additional readings will be posted to D2L by myself and other students in the discussion. Even if you do not read all of the additional readings, you should download and save them for future reference. You should plan to monitor/read the discussion on at least 3 days per week that are not all consecutive (it's fine if you read on 2 consecutive days plus 1 non-consecutive day), and you should post to the discussion at least 2 (preferably non-consecutive) days per week. The online discussion experience will be better for everyone if all students check in and post regularly.
- The "discussion week" will run from Tuesday morning at 9:00 am until Monday morning at 9:00 am (CDT). Except for week 8 (see below).
- All posts for the week must be completed by Monday at 9:00 am (CDT). Except for week 8 (see below).

Week 1:

- Complete the required reading.
- Make at least two (2) posts to the discussion. Your 2 posts may include any combination of ideas that you've come up with on your own, as well as answers to my posted questions. As an example, your 2 posts may include an answer to one of my questions plus one post in which you share your own idea.
- It is NOT a requirement that all of my questions must be answered.
- If possible, your posts should span different levels of thinking (see below). For example, you may have 1 post that requires Level 2 thinking and one post that requires Level 1 thinking. If you are having trouble thinking of something to post, read the "Levels of Thinking" description to help you get some ideas.
- Please also see the guidelines below on "answering questions and participating in the discussion."

Weeks 2-7:

- Complete the required readings.
- Students who are assigned to post questions for the week will post them no later than Tuesday at 9:00 am; however, they may be posted earlier.
- *ALL* students will participate in the discussion throughout the week. See specific requirements below on "posting questions" and "answering questions and participating in the discussion." Students who posted questions are not required to make additional posts other than responding in their own discussion (see below under "posting questions"); however, these students are still required to read the entire discussion, and they are allowed to make additional optional posts.

Week 8:

- Complete the required reading. For this week the required readings are the sections of the manual posted by your classmates.
- Make at least two (2) posts to the discussion relevant to the topic. Your 2 posts may include any combination of
 ideas that you've come up with on your own, as well comments regarding the sections of the manual posted by
 your classmates (though that isn't required). If you do choose to post comments/questions about the sections of
 the manual posted by your classmates, please keep them positive and constructive.
- You DO NOT need to post anything referring to a non-required reading, but you may do this if you choose to.
 All posts must be completed by Thursday (8/10) at 6pm.

Posting Questions:

- You must post at least 3 questions, and the questions will preferably span at least two different levels of thinking (see more info toward the bottom of the next page).
- Your questions must fit within the topics for that week. I recommend coordinating in advance with the other students who are assigned to the same week so that the questions are not repetitive. You could each choose different sub-topics on which to post.
- All questions for the week must be posted by Tuesday at 9:00 AM. You may post your questions as early as Friday the previous week; however, students will not be answering them until the assigned week.
- At least one of your questions must refer to a reading other than the required readings. This reading may be a peer-reviewed journal article, a reputable non-peer-reviewed journal article (such as from *Hearing Journal*), or a reputable website. Post the reading, or a web link to it, with the question(s) that refer to it.
- Each question should be posted as its own new message under "[yourname]'s discussion."

- Write your question in the body of the message. After writing your question, summarize the *main point* of the question as well as possible in the subject line. (Characters are limited in the subject line, so do the best you can).
- You are responsible for monitoring your discussion. You are not required to monitor it every day, but you should
 monitor it on at least 3-4 days throughout the week. Read all of the answers that are posted to your questions, and
 respond to answers if necessary. (You do not need to respond to all answers, but please respond to an answer if
 you believe there is something you need to respond to).

Answering Questions and Participating in the Discussion:

Requirements:

- During a week when you are *not* writing questions, you must make at least 2 *original, relevant, and important* posts to the discussion. Your 2 posts may include any combination of answering others' questions and making your own points. Very basic posts, such as simply agreeing with a previous poster, do not count toward your 2 required posts unless you expand on your "basic" response with additional original, relevant, and important information.
- At least one of your posts must refer to a non-required reading. You can meet this requirement by answering one of the questions that refers to a non-required reading, or by finding and posting your own non-required reading. The non-required reading may be a peer-reviewed journal, a reputable non-peer-reviewed journal, or a reputable website. Please post the article or link if it hasn't already been posted.
- If you answer others' questions, try to answer questions across different levels of thinking.
- Do not duplicate others' posts or others' answers to questions. You will not receive credit for a post that is redundant with information already posted by another student (unless you were—unknown to each other— composing similar posts at the same time. You can definitely make an original post that *expands on* or replies to a previous post, as long as you add original information or a new substantial thought.
- Think of your posts as answers to short-answer exam questions. Your posts should be accurate and informative, clearly written, and as free as possible from spelling and grammatical errors. I suggest composing your posts in Word (or another word processing program), and pasting them on to the discussion board.
- Grading of TD posts will be evaluated by the instructor using the following rubric:
 - Did the leader stimulate a thought-provoking discussion?
 - o Did the leader respond to other students' inquiries (and in a respectful way)?
 - o Did the leader expand the discussion beyond original posed questions?
 - Did the student contribute to a thought-provoking discussion?
 - Did the student respond to other students' posts in a respectful way?
- If any questions are posted early (for example, a student may post her questions for the following week on the Friday before that week), please do not post any answers until at least Sunday evening before the assigned week.
 - If you answer early questions that are assigned to the following week, it will count toward your grade for the <u>following week</u>. For example, if you answer an early question on Sunday evening June 26, it will count toward your grade and requirements for the week of June 28. You can also post to the current (week of June 21) discussion on Sunday evening June 26, and that/those post(s) would count toward your grade and requirements for the week of June 21.

Additional Suggestions:

- If you answer a question or expand on a previous post, be sure to "reply" to the question or post; in other words, choose "reply" instead of "compose".
- If your post is *not* an answer to someone's question or a reply to a previous post, post it under "additional questions and discussion."
- If you accidentally post something or want to delete a post, let me know and I can delete it. (D2L does not allow students to delete posts).
- You are allowed to make more than the minimum number of posts.
- It is NOT a requirement that all posted questions must be answered, although you are definitely encouraged to answer the questions.

Levels of Thinking:

Level 1: Remember or understand; emphasis is on recall or literal understanding Verbs: list, describe, recall, define, identify, summarize, list

Questions or posts that require Level 1 thinking involve recall or summary of information.

Level 2: Use or apply what has been learned in a new way; break knowledge down into its component parts Verbs: organize, classify, apply, compare, contrast, categorize, break down or take apart, combine

Some examples of questions or posts that would require Level 2 thinking:

- Presents new information related to the problem.
- Presents a new, related idea for discussion.
- Presents a new solution to a problem.
- Clears up ambiguities; clarifies information.
- Brings information from outside sources to the problem.
- Brings information from experience (such as clinical experience) to the problem.
- Compares and contrasts different interpretations of or solutions to a problem.
- Provides examples.
- Level 3: Assess the value (i.e., critique) ideas or solutions; integrate pieces of learning into a new whole Verbs: design, reconstruct, reorganize, create, develop, propose, interpret, judge, justify, critique, evaluate

Some examples of questions or posts that would require Level 3 thinking:

- Uses pieces of known information to identify and clearly describe a *new* problem (i.e., not the problem that is already specifically discussed).
- Integrates previous and/or new information to create a unique, original interpretation or solution.
- Discusses advantages and disadvantages of a solution.
- o Justifies a solution.
- Critiques an issue, an interpretation of an issue, or a solution.

Adapted from Bloom's taxonomy

Academic Conduct

Please refer to the Division of Student Affairs for a description of your rights and responsibilities: http://www.uwsp.edu/dos/Documents/CommunityRights.pdf

Please refer to UWSP Academic Affairs for other information pertaining to academic conduct; in particular, see the University handbook, especially chapter 5 regarding classroom activities: http://www.uwsp.edu/acadaff/Pages/handbook.aspx

http://www.uwsp.edu/AcadAff/Handbook/CH5-6%2011-12.pdf

http://www.uwsp.edu/dos/Pages/Information%20for%20Students.aspx

D2L for this course is linked to turnitin.com for plagiarism detection.

Academic Misconduct Definition

From the UWSP Handbook, Chapters 5&6, July, 2011, page 10 - 11

http://www.uwsp.edu/AcadAff/Handbook/CH5-6%2011-12.pdf

UWSP 14.03 Academic misconduct subject to disciplinary action.

(1) Academic misconduct is an act in which a student:

(a) Seeks to claim credit for the work or efforts of another without authorization or citation;

(b) Uses unauthorized materials or fabricated data in any academic exercise;

(c) Forges or falsifies academic documents or records;

(d) Intentionally impedes or damages the academic work of others;

(e) Engages in conduct aimed at making false representation of a student's academic performance; or

(f) Assists other students in any of these acts.

(2) Examples of academic misconduct include, but are not limited to: cheating on an examination; collaborating with others in work to be presented, contrary to the stated rules of the course; submitting a paper or assignment as one's own work when a part or all of the paper or assignment is the work of another; submitting a paper or assignment that contains ideas or research of others without appropriately identifying the sources of those ideas; stealing examinations or course materials; submitting, if contrary to the rules of a course, work previously presented in another course; tampering with the laboratory experiment or computer program of another student; knowingly and intentionally assisting another student in any of the above, including assistance in an arrangement whereby any work, classroom performance, examination or other activity is submitted or performed by a person other than the student under whose name the work is submitted or performed.

Accommodation for disability or special learning needs:

Per University policies,

http://www.uwsp.edu/stuaffairs/Documents/RightsRespons/ADA/rightsADAPolicyInfo.pdf http://www.uwsp.edu/stuaffairs/Documents/RightsRespons/ADA/rightsADAAccomodateForm.pdf http://www.uwsp.edu/stuaffairs/Documents/RightsRespons/ADA/rightsWebAccess.pdf Accommodations will be made for students with disabilities or learning difficulties documented by the UWSP Disability

Accommodations will be made for students with disabilities or learning difficulties documented by the UWSP Disability Services office. Contact the instructor as soon as possible, preferably during the first week of classes, to discuss how to best accommodate your needs.